

**FMS #03  
4303 Green Road  
Cleveland, Ohio 44128**

**Minutes from LPC Meeting  
EAST REGION  
22 Jan 2009**

Attendees:

**MANAGEMENT:**

Saffle, Joe (FMS 1)  
Scott, Ed (FMS 5)  
Hughes, Todd (FMS 8)  
Ody, James (FMS 2)  
Wilson, Curtis (FMS 3)

**LABOR:**

Durell, Chris. (FMS 2)  
Hebb, Randall (FMS 8)  
Harris, Michael (FMS 8)

Visitors:

Facilitators:  
Markley, James

Scribe  
Michael Harris

Meeting called to order at 1010 by Todd Hughes (Co Chair).

The East Region would like to thank FMS #3 for providing the refreshments.

**Old Business:**

Discussion of completion of IBB training for Todd Hughes, Randall Hebb, Michael Harris.

Status of ETM readers for all shops.

Discussion of flexibility given to individual shops for new hire positions. Most were pleased with flexibility given to shop chiefs.

Advanced military leave issue that was raised. CLOSED ISSUE.

Clarification of Production controller position GS-07 verses GS-09. No resolution. See new business.

Policy letter for Technicians volunteering or be called to federal or state active duty.

**New Business:**

Clarification for determining GS07 or GS09 Production controller positions in the shops?  
**Formal issue form will be generated.**

Written Policy for Technicians volunteering for GWOT mobilizations or extending of GWOT tours. **Formal issue form will be generated.**

Request a policy letter to cover Technicians being called to volunteer verses being ordered to State or Federal active duty. **Formal issue form will be generated.**

Request for HRO to give a FERS/TSP briefing to all shop personnel. Young work force unsure on benefits and retirement.

ASE Automotive Service Excellence testing verse re-certificate. Data collection for LTC Wirth the first step. CW3 Hughes will collect data.

Possibility of exemption from the Buckeye inn, Camp Perry directed housing requirement for COMET inspection teams.

Meeting adjourned at 1120.

Next meeting is on 23 April 2009, at 10:00 at Youngstown Armory. (Depending on CLRT-X dates)

Todd Hughes  
Co-Chair East Region LPC.  
Management

Christopher Durell  
Co-Chair East Region LPC  
Labor

**LOCAL PARTNERSHIP EAST**  
ISSUE SUBMISSION FORM  
(For East Region FMS 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,18,19 and UTES)

**Date:** 22 Jan 2009      **Submitted By:** EAST REGION LPC  
Individuals Name Optional  
Need at least Shop Number

- **Issue / Problem:** Production Controller positions. There are some shops with Production controllers as GS-09 and GS-07. Request they be standardized as GS-09.
  
- **References:** Position classification standard for production control series GS-1152. (TS-114)
  
- **Expected resolution:** Conversion of all Production controllers to GS-09 or clear guidelines for determining GS-09 or GS-07.
  
- **Recommendation:** Convert all production controllers to GS-09. The position requires the same knowledge and skill base in every shop. The larger facilities may require assistance or reassignment of additional duties normally carried out by the production controller.
  
- **Additional Comments:**  
Since this concerns all maintenance facilities in the state we believe the ARPEC should look into this matter. Consideration must be taken for any facilities on the short term list to be consolidated, so not to create an over grade situation.

This Issue has been discussed at lowest level with no resolution. Place an X in the box to confirm the statement above

---

**COMMITTEE USE ONLY**

**Issue Number Assigned:** 2009-01      **Date Brought to LPC Committee:** 22 Jan 2009

**Actions Taken:** Elevated to ARPEC

**LOCAL PARTNERSHIP EAST**  
ISSUE SUBMISSION FORM  
(For East Region FMS 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,18,19 and UTES)

**Date:** 22 Jan 2009      **Submitted By:** EAST REGION LPC

Individuals Name Optional  
Need at least Shop Number

• **Issue / Problem:** Written Policy for Technicians volunteering for GWOT mobilizations or voluntary extension of GWOT tours. Technicians are asking for clear and concise guidelines to eliminate the confusion and contradictions with supervisors.

• **References:** N/A

• **Expected resolution:** Clear and concise written guidelines of the process.

• **Recommendation:** All requests for voluntary mobilization will start with immediate supervisor. All request approved or declined will be forwarded to the appropriate maintenance manager for presentation to the Surface Maintenance Officer, Director of logistics and the Chief of staff for final approval / disapproval.

• **Additional Comments:**

Since this concerns all maintenance facilities in the state we believe the ARPEC should look into this matter. Voluntarily deploying within your specialty field to enhance the readiness or knowledge base of a deploying unit should be considered favorable and the opposite is true for volunteering for a position outside your area of specialty or expertise.

This Issue has been discussed at lowest level with no resolution. Place an X in the box to confirm the statement above

---

**COMMITTEE USE ONLY**

**Issue Number Assigned:** 2009-02      **Date Brought to LPC Committee:** 22 Jan 2009

**Actions Taken:** Elevated to ARPEC

**LOCAL PARTNERSHIP EAST**  
ISSUE SUBMISSION FORM  
(For East Region FMS 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,18,19 and UTES)

**Date:** 22 Jan 2009      **Submitted By:** EAST REGION LPC  
Individuals Name Optional  
Need at least Shop Number

• **Issue / Problem:** Written Policy for Technicians volunteering for State or Federal disaster relief missions. Technicians are asking for clear and concise guidelines to eliminate the confusion and contradictions with supervisors.

• **References:** N/A

• **Expected resolution:** Clear and concise written guidelines of the process.

• **Recommendation:** Clear guidance on status during emergency call ups for technicians. Being ordered with your assigned unit is clear. The gray area is when your units asks if you want to volunteer. The shop supervisor and the State Maintenance office should be the approving/Disapproving authority as workloads vary with the scope of the mission.

• **Additional Comments:**

Since this concerns all maintenance facilities in the state we believe the ARPEC should look into this matter. The technician force is no longer confined to units the shop supports so this widens the need for oversight and clear guidelines to support the state mission.

This Issue has been discussed at lowest level with no resolution. Place an X in the box to confirm the statement above

---

**COMMITTEE USE ONLY**

**Issue Number Assigned:** 2009-03      **Date Brought to LPC Committee:** 22 Jan 2009

**Actions Taken:** Elevated to ARPEC