

Meeting Minutes

1

Ohio Military Facilities Commission
Dublin City Hall
5200 Emerald Parkway
Dublin Ohio 43017
March 7, 2017

Chairman Wagoner called the meeting to order at 2:12pm.

A roll call was taken Alexander Lapso and all members were present except Commissioner Ashenhurst who participated by phone.

The minutes of the January 3, 2017 meeting were approved without changes.

Mr. Dick Willinger, Director, Installation Management and Resources at the Adjutant General's Department, provided an update on Controlling Board timelines for submitting commission approved facilities' funding requests and responded to questions. Mr. Willinger indicated Controlling Board action will take place in May pendant to the commission submitting approved funding requests in April.

Proposal evaluators Ms. Jean Stevenson of the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission and Mr. Paul Sullivan provided copies of the applications and evaluations to the commissioners. Summaries of the application window and timeline were detailed. The evaluators explained that the process was competitive based on primary and secondary screening criteria. The primary review process evaluated the applicants' eligibility while the secondary review process evaluated the following: the military value of the request; other military value of the request; if the funding proposal included matching funds; and, a review of the projected overall cost of the project and construction schedule. Each applicant could receive a maximum of 60 points over the aforementioned categories towards their evaluation.

The commission stood at ease at 2:23pm.

The commission resumed at 2:25pm.

Members of the commission asked questions regarding how the scores per category were calculated. The evaluators responded and provided a detailed analysis of the four categories of the evaluation process.

Members of the commission asked questions regarding the impact of matching funds. The evaluators responded. The amount requested per application and the availability of matching funds played a factor in the evaluators' decision. The total amount requested through all applications is \$13,294,100.

Members of the commission asked questions regarding the Western Reserve Port Authority/Youngstown-Warren Regional Airport, Youngstown Air Reserve application and evaluation. Referencing the application, the evaluators responded that the funding request would be utilized to retire liens on existing structures and that the matching funds did not speak to the military value portion of the evaluation.

Commissioner Stringer provided comment and clarification of the NASA applications citing the request was intended to reduce overall costs related to each respective mission. The evaluators responded to those comments.

Pursuant to new business, Chairman Wagoner provided that at the next meeting the commission will decide which application or applications will receive the funding totaling \$5,000,000 as authorized by the General Assembly. The Chair advised the commissioners to adhere to State of Ohio sunshine laws as they individually deliberate the evaluators' findings. Additionally, Mr. Willinger informed the commission that the format of the grant agreement would be presented to the commission at its next meeting for their review and approval.

Called upon by the Chair, Mr. Willinger noted that the Adjutant General's Department will continue to provide administrative support to the commission.

The parameters of the public comment policy was brought up for immediate consideration. The commission agreed that per the public comment policy those willing to testify at the next meeting will be limited to 10 minutes per applicant, and that those willing to testify will be notified that a neutral evaluation of each applicant has already occurred.

A motion to adopt the public comment policy pendant to finalizing the verbiage of the policy at the next meeting was moved, seconded, and adopted.

Called upon by the Chair, Mr. Willinger provided that the grant agreement will be sent to members of the commission for their approval.

Members of the commission asked questions concerning how points were awarded to the state verses federal applicants. The evaluators responded and advised that the degree of application detail, cost estimates, and the matching funding of each application resulted in state applications receiving more points per category than others.

With no further business before the commission, the meeting adjourned at 2:52pm.